

Robert A. Spanner, Esq. (State Bar No. 60308)
TRIAL & TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP
A Professional Corporation
545 Middlefield Road, Suite 220
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 324-2223
Facsimile: (650) 324-0178

Attorneys for Plaintiff
IP SOLUTIONS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IP SOLUTIONS, INC.,)	Case No. 07-CV-02774 JF (RS)
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL
vs.)	CASE MANAGEMENT
)	CONFERENCE
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

TO THE COURT, TO ALL PARTIES, AND TO THE PARTIES' ATTORNEYS
OF RECORD:

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff, IP
SOLUTIONS, INC. ("Plaintiff") and Defendants, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK
OF AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS, INC. ("Defendants") that the Case
Management Conference be continued for one month from its presently-scheduled date of
January 25, 2008, up to and until February 29, 2008, or to a later date that is convenient for
the Court.

1 IT IS FURTHER HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between
2 Plaintiff and Defendants that, pursuant to this Stipulation continuing the Case Management
3 Conference that this Stipulation is requested for good cause, in that representatives of
4 Plaintiff IP Solutions and Bank of America have conferred directly regarding settlement of
5 this action, and Plaintiff is submitting comprehensive terms for a settlement on January 11,
6 2008.

8 The following time modifications have been made in this case:

9 – the parties previously stipulated that Defendants be granted an additional fifteen
10 (15) days in which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, up to July 27, 2007;

11 – the parties further stipulated that Defendants be granted an additional four (4)
12 days in which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, up to July 31, 2007;

13 – the parties stipulated that the original case management conference be continued
14 for two months, from its originally-scheduled date of August 29, 2007. The Court issued
15 an Order granting that continuance on August 27, 2007;

16 – the parties stipulated that the initial case management conference be continued for
17 one month, and the Court granted that continuance on October 3, 2007, scheduling the case
18 management conference for October 26, 2007. The Court then issued a Clerk's Notice
19 rescheduling the conference for December 7, 2007;

20 – the parties stipulated to continue their Rule 26(f) conference, up to December 21,
21 2007. On December 4, 2007, the Court issued an order granting that Stipulation and
22 continuing the case management conference to January 25, 2008.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Because the parties filed their Joint Case Management Statement on November 30,
2 2007, granting this Stipulation and Order to continue the case management conference will
3 have no other effect on the schedule for this case.

4
5 By entering into this stipulation, neither Plaintiff nor Defendants waive any rights,
6 claims or defenses they may have in this action. This Stipulation may be executed in
7 counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which, when executed, shall be an original
8 and all of which together shall constitute one and the same stipulation. This Stipulation
9 contains the entire agreement among the parties. The undersigned hereby consent to the
10 terms set forth in the foregoing Stipulation.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

12
13 Dated: 1/9/2008 _____

TRIAL & TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP
A Professional Corporation
Attorneys for Plaintiff
IP SOLUTIONS, INC.

16 /s/ Robert A. Spanner
17 By: _____
18 Robert A. Spanner

19 Dated: 1/9/2008 _____

FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF
AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS,
INC.

23 /s/ Christina D. Jordan
24 By: _____
25 Christina D. Jordan

DECLARATION OF CONSENT

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Christina D. Jordan.

Dated: 1/9/2008

TRIAL & TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP
A Professional Corporation
Attorneys for Plaintiff
IP SOLUTIONS, INC.

By: /s/ Robert A. Spanner
Robert A. Spanner

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/17/08


HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL JUDGE OF THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT